

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/072,177	02/11/2002	Robert E. Fischell	S1-02 1327		
7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER			
Robert E. Fischell			DI NOLA BARON, LILIANA		
14600 Viburnum Dr. Dayton, MD 21036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• ,			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/072,17	77	FISCHELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Liliana Di	Nola-Baron	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailie earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t lication to become ABANDONED	rely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 200	<u>92</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is no	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language properties of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are priority document in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are priority document in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document in the first sentence of the priority doc	nts have been the have been ority docume au (PCT Rulest of the certifictic priority unirst sentence rovisional appoint priority units priority units priority units priority units priority units priority units been been been been been been been bee	n received. In received in Application received in Application and the specification or plication has been received and the specification or plication has been received and the specification.	on No	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)		· —					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	·	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the mesh" in lines 2 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-7 and 9-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,534,693. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the patent and the instant application are drawn to a sheet or mesh for implantation

Art Unit: 1615

comprising an anti-proliferative drug, and methods comprising attaching an anti-proliferative

drug onto a material.

Instant claim 1 differs from claim 1 in the patent in the fact that the material is adapted for being wrapped generally around tissues of a human body at the site of a surgical procedure. Patented claim 1 reads on a sheet or material adapted for implantation. It is the view of the examiner that wrapping of a material around a tissue of the human body at the site of surgical procedure is a

form of implantation.

With regard to the limitation in claims 4 and 20, that the material or the ointment comprises an additional medication, claim 12 in the patent is directed to a method comprising applying a combination of an anti-proliferative drug and an antiseptic, antibiotic or analgesic drug.

With respect to the anastamosis limitation in claims 6, 14, 17 and 18, claim 6 in the patent is directed to a mesh for introduction into a body cavity.

Regarding the annulus shape claimed in instant claim 8, the annulus shape is a form of cylindrical shape, as claimed in claim 6 in the patent. The flat rectangle shape claimed in instant claim 7 would be an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art, to adapt the wrapping material to different organs in the body.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,177

Art Unit: 1615

The breast implant claimed in claims 10 and 21 in the patent is a species of the genus artificial

graft claimed in instant claim 14.

The joint of a vein to the aorta or of an artery to the coronary artery claimed in the method of

instant claims 17 and 18 are forms of surgical procedures claimed in the method of claim 18 in

the patent. Furthermore, claim 11 in the patent is directed to a device for implantation in veins or

arteries.

The two sets of claims are largely coextensive, and issue of the instant application as a patent

would improperly timewise extend the "right to exclude" granted to the patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-

8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235.

Lenes

Page 4

December 4, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICION Y CENT